



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,722	10/13/2003	Steven M. Benedetti	0275M-000750	4344
27572	7590	05/22/2006	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			MILLS, DANIEL J	
P.O. BOX 828			ART UNIT	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			PAPER NUMBER	
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/684,722	BENEDETTI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Daniel J. Mills	3679	

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel J. Mills.

(2) Thomas Krul.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 March 2006

**Time:** 2:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Section 112*

**Claims discussed:**

*1 and 4-34*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**


*Examiner discussed 112 rejections with applicant and informed applicant that a Non-Final action would be forthcoming.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DANIEL P. STODOLA  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)